metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Two mixed-metal carboxylate-base adducts

Yong Cui,^a* Xing Zhang,^b Fakun Zheng,^b Jing Ren,^a Gang Chen,^a Yitai Qian^a and Jinshun Huang^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China, and ^bState Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: ycui@ustc.edu.cn

Received 14 July 2000

Accepted 18 July 2000

The crystal structures of hexa- μ -propionato-1:2 $\kappa^6 O:O'$; 1:3 $\kappa^6 O:O'$ -diquinoline-2 κN ,3 κN -calcium(II)dizinc(II), [Ca-Zn₂(C₃H₅O₂)₆(C₉H₇N)₂], and hexa- μ -pivalato-1:2 $\kappa^6 O:O'$; 1:3 $\kappa^6 O:O'$ -diquinoline-2 κN ,3 κN -calcium(II)dicobalt(II), [Ca-Co₂(C₅H₉O₂)₆(C₉H₇N)₂], are described. Both contain a linear array of one Ca^{II} ion and two M^{II} (M = Zn, Co) ions connected by two sets of three carboxylate ligands in *syn-syn* bridging modes. The distorted tetrahedral geometry around the M^{II} ion is completed by a quinoline N atom. The central Ca^{II} ion occupies a crystallographic inversion centre and is octahedrally coordinated by six carboxyl O atoms in each structure. The Zn^{II}...Ca^{II} and Co^{II}...Ca^{II} distances are 3.8504 (9) and 3.7929 (5) Å, respectively.

Comment

For some time, a type of novel linear trinuclear assembly of general formula $[M^{II}M'_{2}^{II}(O_{2}CR)_{6}L_{2}]$ (M = M' = Mn, Fe, Co, Zn; M' = Zn, M = Mn, Co, Ni, Zn, Cd, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba; L is a heterocyclic base) has been the subject of considerable attention in the literature (Catterick *et al.*, 1974; Catterick & Thornton, 1976; Clegg *et al.*, 1985, 1986, 1988, 1989). The first example characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction was the cobalt complex $[Co_{3}(O_{2}CPh)_{6}(C_{9}H_{7}N)_{2}]$ reported by Catterick *et al.* (1974). In each case, the three divalent metal ions are arranged in a linear array within a centrosymmetric molecule. The ligands L are bound terminally to the outer metal ions, and the carboxylates form bridges between the



central and outer metal ions. Several Schiff base derivatives, such as $[Mn_3^{II}(O_2CCH_3)_4(L^1)_2(CH_3OH)_2]$ and $[M_3^{II}(O_2C-CH_3)(L^2)_2(dmf)_2]$ $[M = Fe, Co; L^1 = 1,3$ -dihydroxy-2-methyl-2-(5-chlorosalicylideamino)propane, $L^2 = N,N'$ -bis(salicylidene)-2,2'-dimethylpropylenediamine], have also been synthesized and characterized (Li *et al.*, 1988; Gerli *et al.*, 1991; Tangoulis *et al.*, 1996). These complexes not only provide a wealth of data about steric interactions and spectroscopic and magnetic properties, but can also serve as structural models for biological systems and solid materials.

The title complexes, (I) and (II) (Figs. 1 and 2, respectively), consist of a centrosymmetric linear trinuclear molecule, with



Figure 1

A view of the molecular structure of (I) showing the atom-numbering scheme and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. Only one component of the disordered C atoms of the propionate groups is shown for clarity. [Symmetry code: (A) - 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z.]



Figure 2 A view of the molecular structure of (II) showing the atom-numbering scheme and 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. [Symmetry code: $(A)\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, 1 - z.]

the central Ca^{II} ion on a crystallographic inversion centre. Two M^{II} (M = Zn, Co) ions are each coordinated by three carboxyl O atoms and a quinoline molecule to form a distorted tetrahedron, whose most distorted angles are O22-Zn-N of 95.84 (13)° and O12-Co-N1 of 94.42 (12)°. Each terminal M^{II} ion is connected to the central Ca^{II} ion by three carboxvlate bridges in a syn-syn mode. The Ca^{II} ion occupies a slightly distorted octahedron of O atoms, with Ca-O bond lengths in the range 2.287(3)–2.323(3) Å for (I) and 2.261 (3)–2.279 (3) Å for (II), and with all O–Ca–O angles within 4.5° of ideal octahedral values for both (I) and (II). The two structures are therefore similar to those observed for $[MZn_2(O_2CCH=CHCH_3)_6(C_{10}H_9N)_2]$ (M = Ca, Sr) and $[BaZn_2(O_2CCMe_3)_6(C_9H_7N)_2]$ (Clegg et al., 1988, 1989), but are different from that of [MgZn₂(O₂CCH=CHCH₃)₆- $(C_9H_7N)_2$] (Clegg *et al.*, 1988), where the central Mg^{II} ion is linked to each Zn^{II} ion by three crotonate bridges: two of these are syn-syn bidentate and the third is monodentate and bridging through only one O atom. The Zn-O [1.924 (3)-1.951 (3) Å], Zn-N [2.086 (2) Å] and $Zn \cdot \cdot \cdot Ca$ [3.8504 (9) Å] distances in (I) are in good agreement with the corresponding values in $[CaZn_2(O_2CCH=CHCH_3)_6(C_{10}H_9N)_2]$ (Clegg et al., 1988) and slightly longer than the Co-O [1.904(3)-1.914 (3) Å], Co-N [2.065 (3) Å] and Co $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ Ca [3.7929 (5) Å] distances in (II) as a consequence of the smaller radius of cobalt. The present Zn···Ca and Co···Ca distances are considerably longer than the $Zn \cdot \cdot Mg$ distance of 3.518 (3) Å found in MgZn₂ crotonate (Clegg et al., 1988), but significantly shorter than the corresponding separations in SrZn₂ crotonate [4.050(5)]Å; Clegg et al., 1988] and BaZn₂ pivalate [4.18 (1) Å; Clegg et al., 1989].

Experimental

For the preparation of (I), a mixture of $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, Ca-(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, propionic acid and quinoline (molar ratio 2:1:6:2) in

O12–Zn–O22

EtOH was refluxed for 10 h. The resulting solution was allowed to stand in air and colourless crystals were deposited after one week. For the preparation of (II), a mixture of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, $Ca(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, pivalic acid and quinoline (molar ratio 2:1:6:2) in ethanol was refluxed for 12 h. The resulting solution was allowed to stand in air and purple prismatic crystals were deposited after three days.

Compound (I)

Crystal data	
$\begin{bmatrix} CaZn_2(C_3H_5O_2)_6(C_9H_7N)_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $M_r = 867.55$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 8.614 (2) Å b = 19.363 (4) Å c = 12.473 (3) Å $\beta = 95.59$ (3)° V = 2070.4 (7) Å ³ Z = 2	$D_x = 1.392 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 1.95-25.00^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.341 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Triangular prism, colourless 0.15 × 0.10 × 0.10 mm
Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffract- ometer ω scans Absorption correction: empirical φ scans (Fair, 1990) $T_{\min} = 0.735, T_{\max} = 0.875$ 3633 measured reflections 3633 independent reflections	3007 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $\theta_{\max} = 25.00^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 10$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 23$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: none

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$) for (I).

Zn-O12	1.924 (3)	Zn-N	2.086 (3)
Zn-O22	1.924 (3)	Ca-O11	2.323 (3)
Zn-O32	1.951 (3)	Ca-O21	2.310 (3)
O12-Zn-O22	119.41 (17)	O32–Zn–N	100.44 (14)
O12-Zn-O32	116.19 (15)	O11-Ca-O21	91.10 (13)
O22-Zn-O32	117.04 (17)	O11-Ca-O31	85.87 (13)
O12-Zn-N	101.05 (13)	O21-Ca-O31	86.64 (14)
O22-Zn-N	95.84 (13)		

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$ $wR(F^2) = 0.155$ S = 1.1493633 reflections 238 parameters H atoms: see below

Compound (II)

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} CaCo_2(C_5H_9O_2)_6(C_9H_7N)_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $M_r = 1022.98$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 20.5710 (5) Å b = 13.9851 (3) Å c = 18.8102 (4) Å $\beta = 103.814$ (7)° V = 5254.9 (2) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.062$ $wR(F^2) = 0.135$ S = 1.0874742 reflections 295 parameters H atoms: see below

Table 2

```
Selected geometric parameters (Å, ^{\circ}) for (II).
```

Co-O12	1.914 (3)	Ca-O11	2.269 (3)
Co-O22	1.912 (3)	Ca-O21	2.279 (3)
Co-O32	1.904 (3)	Ca-O31	2.261 (3)
Co-N1	2.065 (3)		
O12-Co-O22	118.55 (13)	O32-Co-N1	104.63 (13)
O12-Co-O32	115.87 (14)	O11-Ca-O21	86.43 (11)
O22-Co-O32	118.22 (14)	O11-Ca-O31	87.54 (11)
O12-Co-N1	94.42 (12)	O21-Ca-O31	85.74 (11)
O22-Co-N1	98.22 (13)		

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o{}^2) + (0.104P)^2 \\ &+ 0.576P] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o{}^2 + 2F_c{}^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} &= 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 0.64 \text{ e } \text{ Å}{}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -0.33 \text{ e } \text{ Å}{}^{-3} \end{split}$$

 $D_x = 1.293 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 5832 reflections $\theta = 1.78-25.53^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.787 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Triangular prism, purple $0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

4742 independent reflections 3269 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.042$ $\theta_{max} = 25.53^{\circ}$ $h = -24 \rightarrow 24$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 16$ $l = -10 \rightarrow 22$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0480P)^{2} + 5.7771P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ In (I), the C21-ethyl and C33-methyl groups are wholly split into two parts, each having a site-occupancy factor of 0.5. H atoms belonging to the disordered C atoms were not included in the refinement model. Other H atoms were placed geometrically and thereafter refined riding on their parent C atoms; C-H = 0.96 Å for methyl, 0.97 Å for methylene and 0.93 Å for aryl, and $U_{iso}(H) = xU_{eq}(C)$, where x is 1.5 for methyl H atoms and 1.2 for other H atoms.

For compound (I), data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD-4 Software*; data reduction: *MolEN* (Fair, 1990); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993). For compound (II), data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: *SMART* and *SAINT* (Siemens, 1994); data reduction: *XPREP* (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*. For both compounds, molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation and the Wang Kuanchen Postdoctoral Science Foundation for financial support of this work.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1424). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Catterick, J., Hursthouse, M. B., New, D. B. & Thornton, P. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 843–844.
- Catterick, J. & Thornton, P. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1634–1640.
 Clegg, W., Hunt, P. A., Straughan, B. P. & Mendiola, M. A. (1989). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1127–1131.
- Clegg, W., Little, I. R. & Straughan, B. P. (1985). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 73–74.
- Clegg, W., Little, I. R. & Straughan, B. P. (1986). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1283–1288.
- Clegg, W., Little, I. R. & Straughan, B. P. (1988). *Inorg. Chem.* 27, 1916–1923. Enraf–Nonius (1989). *CAD-4 Software*. Version 5.0. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The
- Netherlands. Fair, C. K. (1990). *MolEN*. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gerli, A., Hagen, K. S. & Marzilli, L. G. (1991). *Inorg. Chem.* **30**, 4673–4676.
- Li, X., Kessissoglou, D. P., Kirt, M. L., Bender, C. J. & Pecoraro, V. L. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 1–3.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). SMART, SAINT, XPREP and SHELXTL (Version 5). Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Tangoulis, V., Malamatari, D. A., Soulti, K., Stergiou, V., Raptopoulou, C. P., Terzis, A., Kabanos, T. A. & Kessissoglou, D. P. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* 35, 4974–4983.